

From: [Tom Cravens](#)
To: [Neil Dorrill](#)
Cc: [Dwight Brock](#); [Georgia Hiller](#); [ResnickLisa](#)
Subject: PBSD's Role re: RFP
Date: Friday, December 10, 2010 12:35:50 PM

Greetings Neil,

I have a number of concerns regarding the role of the PBSD and what is currently occurring with our RFP. After hearing Georgia Hiler speak at our last Board meeting I would like to communicate the following:

- 1) PBSD Board agreed they wanted to continue environmental monitoring of the mangroves estuary in Pelican Bay.
- 2) PB MSTBU non ad valorem fees have funded monitoring for well over a decade - specifically to evaluate the health of the Clam Bay mangroves estuary as directly related to our PBSD / MSTBU responsibility and benefit in restoring the mangroves estuary within the Pelican Bay PUD boundaries.
- 3) PBSD Board created a committee (with myself as the PBSD Board member) to oversee the RFP, which would be understood to create the Scope Of Work (SOW), review responses and select an appropriate consultant to contract to continue their environmental monitoring.
- 4) Purchasing Department has completely left the PBSD Board out of the loop for decisions about the PBSD's RFP, SOW, dollar amount limits, or any proposed changes to the composition of the PBSD created committee overseeing our RFP .
- 5) There's no need for an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the PBSD to continue activities which were previously approved by regulatory agencies because an EIS is only necessary to evaluate potential impacts to listed species for NEW activities not previously approved. The PBSD does not seek to do any NEW activities in the Clam Bay mangroves estuary preserve.
- 6) An EIS is an unnecessary expense which was added into our RFP without myself or the full PBSD Board having any discussion on it.
- 7) It has been reported that an EIS is required by the USCG to be included with any new County application for USCG approval of red/green markers.
- 8) The PBSD/MSTBU Board objects to installation of the red/green markers. It's a Coastal Zone Management project which now requires an EIS before the USCG accepts another permit application.
- 9) Action by the PBSD for their RFP is being converted by the Purchasing Department into a convoluted two part RFP/SOW for "Environmental and Biological Studies" which now includes an EIS which is not needed by the PBSD, but is needed by the Coastal Zone Management Department (McAlpin) to pursue permit(s) for red/green markers in Clam Bay which the PBSD objects to..

This situation needs to be remedied whereby other County Departments cannot impose their desired SOW into PBSD/MSTBU contracts.

Additionally I would like to request that Lisa Resnick distribute this as a one-way communication to the PBSD Board.

Tom Cravens